Heterogeneous Learning for Scene Graph Generation

Yunqing He, Tongwei Ren, Jinhui Tang, Gangshan Wu

Introduction

Scene Graph Generation (SGG) aims to construct a graph to express objects and their relationships. We propose a plugand-play Heterogeneous Learning Branch (HLB) to enhance II the independent representation capability of relation features and relieve the problem of large intra-class variation and interclass ambiguity. We apply HLB to typical SGG methods and. The experimental results on VG-150 dataset demonstrate that HLB significantly improves the performance of all these methods in the common evaluation criteria.



Method



- We propose a heterogeneous learning branch which add heterogeneity constraints between object and relation feature spaces. It consists of four components:
- (1) feature transformation module,
- (2) link prediction module,
- (3) object prediction confusion module,
- (4) auto encoder module.

Experiments

SGG tasks and evaluation criteria

R@K: the Recall performance of the top-K predicted results,

mR@K: the mean results of R@K over classes.

Predicate Classification (PredCls): Given image and objects' locations and labels, construct scene graph,

Scene Graph Classification (SGCIs): Given image and objects' locations, construct scene graph,

Scene Graph Detection (SGDet): Only image is given.

Comparison with the state-of-the-arts

	PredCls				SGCls				SGDet			
Model	mR@50	R@50	mR@100	R@100	mR@50	R@50	mR@100	R@100	mR@50	R@50	mR@100	R@100
GBNet- β [34]	22.1	66.6	24.0	68.2	12.7	37.3	13.4	38.0	7.1	26.3	8.5	29.9
Graph R-CNN [32]	16.4	54.2	17.2	59.1	9.0	29.6	9.5	31.6	5.8	11.4	6.6	13.7
ReIDN [38]	15.8	68.7	17.2	68.8	9.3	38.9	9.6	38.9	6.0	31.0	7.3	36.7
FCSGG [17]	6.3	41.0	7.1	45.0	3.7	23.5	4.1	25.7	3.6	21.3	4.2	25.1
GPS-Net [31]	19.2	69.7	21.4	69.7	11.7	42.3	12.5	42.3	7.4	28.9	9.5	33.2
IMP [29]	9.8	59.3	10.5	61.3	5.8	34.6	6.0	35.4	3.8	20.7	4.8	24.5
IMP+HLB	10.63	60.91	11.37	62.95	6.62	38.10	6.98	39.01	4.19	26.67	5.23	31.85
IMP-H	10.17	58.89	10.97	61.31	6.05	34.89	6.47	36.59	5.37	31.21	6.30	35.36
IMP-H+HLB	10.44	59.43	11.17	61.52	7.07	38.21	7.47	39.09	5.87	31.79	6.84	35.91
VTransE [37]	14.7	65.7	15.8	67.6	8.2	38.6	8.7	39.4	5.0	29.7	6.0	34.3
VTransE+HLB	15.26	65.68	16.40	67.60	8.24	39.72	8.74	40.61	5.14	29.74	6.22	34.47
KERN [3]	17.7	65.8	19.2	67.6	9.4	36.7	10.0	37.4	6.4	27.1	7.3	29.8
KERN+HLB	15.89	61.17	17.15	64.17	9.01	38.16	9.69	39.37	7.11	28.70	8.58	33.41
MOTIFS [36]	14.0	65.2	15.3	67.1	7.7	35.8	8.2	36.5	5.7	27.2	6.6	30.3
MOTIFS+HLB	15.39	64.91	16.74	66.80	8.90	39.48	9.44	40.32	7.19	32.57	8.43	37.01
VCTree-SL [24]	17.0	66.2	18.5	67.9	9.8	37.9	10.5	38.6	6.7	27.7	7.7	31.1
VCTree-SL+HLB	17.47	65.73	18.79	67.35	11.98	36.95	12.73	38.50	7.46	32.04	8.75	36.34
BGNN [13]	30.4	59.2	32.9	61.3	14.3	37.4	16.5	38.5	10.7	31.0	12.6	35.8
BGNN+HLB	28.20	61.06	30.43	63.22	16.72	35.27	18.09	36.64	12.57	27.80	15.03	32.28
On Average	+1.45%	-0.21%	+0.96%	-0.02%	+11.73%	+4.08%	+10.74%	+4.39%	+12.63%	+8.83%	+14.20%	+10.48%
	+0.54%				+7.73%				+11.53%			

Qualitative results







The words marked with green correctly denote the detected objects and relations, the red words and lines represent the wrongly predicted ones with notated labels in brackets, and the words marked black color refer to with the predicted relations which are considered positive but unlabeled.

We extend seven typical SGG methods with distinct characteristics validate to the effectiveness of HLB approach, including 4 semiheterogeneous methods, 2 homogeneous methods and 1 de-homogenized method. The experimental results evidently show that HLB can significantly improve the performance of the existing SGG methods, especially on the SGDet task.







#2754

heyq@smail.nju.edu.cn

